Applying Classification of Recommendations and Levels of Evidence¹

		Class of Recommendation			
		Class I Benefit >>> Risk	Class IIa Benefit >> Risk	Class IIb Benefit ≥ Risk	Class III No Benefit or Harm
		Procedure/treatment should be performed/ administered.	Additional studies with focused objectives needed. It is reasonable to perform procedure/ administer treatment.	Additional studies with broad objectives needed; additional registry data would be helpful. Procedure/treatment may be considered.	No additional studies needed. Procedure/treatment should not be performed/administered since it is not helpful and may be harmful.
Level of Evidence	Level A Multiple population risk strata evaluated* General consistency of direction and magnitude of effect	Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective Sufficient evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses	Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/ effective Some conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses	Recommendation's usefulness/efficacy less well established Greater conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses	Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and may be harmful Sufficient evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses
	Level B Limited population risk strata evaluated*	Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective Limited evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies	Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/ effective Some conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies	Recommendation's usefulness/efficacy less well established Greater conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies	Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and may be harmful Limited evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies
	Level C Very limited population risk strata evaluated*	Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective Only expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care	Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/ effective Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care	Recommendation's usefulness/efficacy less well established Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care	Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and may be harmful Only expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care

^{*}Data available from clinical trials or registries about the usefulness/efficacy in different subpopulations, such as gender, age, history of diabetes, history of prior myocardial infarction, history of heart failure, and prior aspirin use. A recommendation with Level B or Level C evidence does not imply that the recommendation is weak. Many important clinical questions addressed in the guidelines do not lend themselves to clinical trials. Even though randomized trials are not available, there may be a very clear clinical consensus that a particular test or therapy is useful or effective.

References: 1. Wann LS, Curtis AB, January CT, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/HRS focused update on the management of patients with atrial fibrillation (updating the 2006 guideline): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2011;123(1):104-123.

2. Calkins H, Kuck KH, Cappato R, et al. 2012 HRS/EHRA/ECAS expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: recommendations for patient selection, procedural techniques, patient management and follow-up, definitions, endpoints, and research trial design: a report of the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) Task Force on Catheter and Surgical Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. Developed in partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society (ECAS); and in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC), American Heart Association (AHA), the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS). Endorsed by the governing bodies of the American College of Cardiology Foundation, the American Heart Association, the European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society, the European Heart Rhythm Association, the Society of Thoracic Surgeons, the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society, and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm*. 2012;9(4):632-693. e21.

Biosense Webster, Inc.

3333 Diamond Canyon Road | Diamond Bar, CA 91765, USA Tel: 909-839-8500 | Tel: 800-729-9010 | Fax: 909-468-2905 | www.biosensewebster.com

EU Representative Biosense Webster

A Division of Johnson & Johnson Medical NV/SA Leonardo da Vincilaan 15 | 1831 Diegem, Belgium Tel: +32-2-7463-401 | Fax: +32-2-7463-403

Important information: Prior to use, please refer to the Instructions for Use supplied with this device for indications, contraindications, side effects, suggested procedure, warnings, and precautions. For healthcare professionals only. As part of Biosense Webster policy of continuous development, we reserve the right to change product specifications without prior notification.

© Biosense Webster, Inc. 2014. All rights reserved. Order No. XXXX

